1122 000

## **EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT** MINERALS REGULATORYPROGAM

Company/Mine: Mark Miller/Expectations CO # MC2006-03-13-01 Permit #: M0350024 Violation # 1 of 1

## **SERIOUSNESS**

1.	refere	type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM nce list of event below and remember that the event is NOT the same as olation. Mark and explain each event.
	a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j.	Activity outside the approved permit area. Injury to the public (public safety). Damage to property. Conducting activities without appropriate approvals. Environmental harm. Water pollution. Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential. Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover. No event occurred as a result of the violation. Other.
	-	erator has been mining outside the approved permit area. Injury to the but there was environmental damage in the form of land disturbance.

Explana public '

2. Has the event or damage occurred? Yes If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).

Explanation: The disturbance occurred, but it is unlikely there would be any injury to the public.

- 3. Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? Yes
- 4. If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.

Explanation: I did not measure the size of the mew disturbances, but they are probably less than one-quarter acre. If the violation had not been discovered, the operator would have continued to remove rocks from the newly-disturbed area and would probably have expanded the disturbance.

В.	<b><u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u></b> (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss			
		Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.		
Explan	ation:			
	$\boxtimes$	Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.		
unperm mining	nitted a	Since the operator has received two previous cessation orders for mining in reas, he should have been aware of the requirements to permit an area before leve, however, that the operator did not understand that he could amend the notice and be allowed to operate in additional areas.		
		If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited.		
Explan	ation:			
		Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?		
Explan	ation:			
	$\boxtimes$	Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken.		
a notice	e of int	In March 2005, the Division issued a cessation order for mining without first filing ention and a reclamation surety. In May 2006, the operator was cited for mining rmitted disturbed area.		
		as any economic benefit gained by the operator for failure to comply? Yes yes explain.		

Explanation: The operator was able to extract rock and use it in his landscaping business, but since the area was small, I doubt much rock was actually removed.

## **Event Violation Inspector's Statement**

# MC2006-03-13-01							
Violation #	1	of	1				

## **GOOD FAITH**

1. In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.

Explanation: The cessation order has yet to be abated.

2.	Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve compliance.			
Explan	nation:			
3.	Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? If yes, explain.			

Paul B. Baker
Authorized Representative

Explanation: \_\_\_\_

Signature

November 27, 2006

Date